DS Journal of Multidisciplinary
Volume 1 Issue 2, 11-15, Apr - Jun 2024
ISSN: 3048-4561 / https://doi.org/10.59232/DSM-V1I2P102

Review Article

The Impact of Time on Parallel Universes: A Study on Choice and Determinism

Nohil Kodiyatar

Independent Author, Gujarat , India.

nohil3689@gmail.com

Received: 05 April 2024; Revised: 07 May 2024; Accepted: 29 May 2024; Published: 13 June 2024;

Abstract - Parallel universes are a subject of fascination and speculation in various fields, including physics, literature, and music [1]. This paper examines the concept of parallel universes and how time influences the possibilities in these universes. Using thought experiments like Schrödinger's Cat, this paper extrapolates these ideas into the broader concept of parallel universes. It explores the theory that people spend more time making a decision, the fewer doors remain available to them. The goal is to understand the implications of this theory and how it affects the concept of determinism in parallel universes.

Keywords - Decision making, Determinism, Parallel universes, Quantum mechanics, Time influence.

1. Introduction

Parallel universes, also known as alternate realities or alternative dimensions, have been a topic of fascination and exploration in various disciplines [2]; each Parallel universe represents a different set of possible outcomes or realities [2]. The concept of superposition in quantum mechanics implies that a particle can exist in multiple states simultaneously until it is detected or measured [3] and then falls into a particular state. This paper explores the concept of things that can selection in a parallel universe and does not use Schrödinger's cat concept of extensive testing as a reference point. The paper examines the relationship between time and parallel universes, [4, 5] specifically focusing on how the amount of time spent making a decision can impact the possibilities within those.

2. Review of Literature

The idea of parallel universes is well-established in both scientific and popular literature. The Many-Worlds Interpretation (MWI) of quantum mechanics, proposed by Hugh Everett in 1957, suggests that all possible outcomes of quantum events actually occur, each in its separate universe. Schrödinger's Cat, a thought experiment by Erwin Schrödinger, illustrates the concept of superposition, where a cat in a box can be both alive and dead until observed. Recent studies have expanded on these ideas, exploring how decision-making and time influence the branching of parallel universes [6, 7]. Researchers have also examined the implications of these theories for determinism and free will [8, 9].

3. Hypothesis

This study hypothesizes that the amount of time spent making a decision impacts the range of possible outcomes in parallel universes. Specifically, it posits that:

1. Longer decision-making times reduce the number of available outcomes due to the influence of intervening events.
2. Timely decisions preserve a wider range of potential outcomes, supporting greater flexibility and indeterminism.

4. Model

To test these hypotheses, this study employs a theoretical model based on quantum mechanics and the Many-Worlds Interpretation. The model includes the following components:

1. Decision Time Variable: Measures the amount of time taken to make a decision.
2. Outcome Availability: Tracks the number of possible outcomes in parallel universes at different decision times.
3. Intervening Events: Considers events that may occur during the decision-making period, potentially affecting available outcomes.
4. Quantum Superposition and Collapse: Applies the principles of quantum superposition and the collapse of the wave function to understand how decisions influence outcomes.

5. The Effect of Time on Choice in Parallel Universes

The idea that the timing of choices can influence the effect of time on choice in parallel universes is central to the discussion on quantum mechanics and the Many-Worlds Interpretation (MWI). This interpretation suggests that every quantum event splits the universe into multiple branches, each representing a different outcome. When applied to the thought experiment of Schrödinger's Cat, we can understand the role of choice and time in influencing these outcomes.

6. Schrödinger's Cat and Quantum Superposition

In Schrödinger's cat experiment, the cat in a closed box is simultaneously alive and dead—a state known as quantum superposition. It remains in this state until an observation (like opening the box) is made, collapsing the superposition into one of the possible outcomes [10]. If the box is opened and the cat is found to be dead, the superposition resolves and that becomes the reality of this universe. However, in the Many-Worlds Interpretation, this event creates a branching point where another parallel universe exists with the opposite outcome: the cat is alive [11].

7. Effect of Early or Late Choices

Opening the box early represents making a choice quickly, where both possible outcomes are still valid in their respective parallel universes [6, 7]. The box opening represents the point of choice, the "observation" that collapses the superposition. When the box is opened, the outcome in that universe becomes fixed, while in another parallel universe, the alternative outcome becomes true. However, if one waits to make a choice, the possibilities in the parallel universes may change due to other events that occur in the meantime. This phenomenon can be likened to a forked road where choices lead to different paths. If one waits too long to make a choice, some paths might no longer be accessible due to changes in the environment or the influence of other choices made along the way.

8. The Role of Time in Determinism

This scenario raises intriguing questions about determinism and free will. If each choice leads to a unique outcome, then the timing of that choice determines not just one reality but the distribution of outcomes across parallel universes [1, 8]. As time progresses, more choices are made by others, which might limit or preclude some paths. For example, consider a person who is deciding whether to take a particular career path.

The longer they wait, the more opportunities might become unavailable due to changing industry trends, decisions by others, or other external factors. Similarly, if a quantum event creates parallel universes with different outcomes, the delay in making a choice could lead to a situation where some potential outcomes are no longer possible [9].

9. Practical Implications

The idea that the timing of choices influences the number of available outcomes in parallel universes has practical implications [3]. It suggests that making timely decisions can increase the range of possibilities, allowing for greater flexibility and creativity. Conversely, delaying choices can limit options, leading to more deterministic outcomes. From a philosophical perspective, this concept challenges traditional notions of linear causality. It opens the door to a deeper understanding of how our decisions can influence the structure and diversity of the multiverse [12]. It also has implications for human agency and free will, suggesting that our actions not only shape our reality but also the potential realities in parallel universes [13].

10. Determinism and Indeterminism in Parallel Universes

The debate about the effect of time on choice has substantial implications for the concept of determinism and indeterminism in the context of parallel universes. Determinism is the idea that all events, including human choices, are predetermined by existing causes, suggesting a fixed course of events [14]. Suppose a quantum event, such as opening Schrödinger's cat's box, leads to the collapse of the superposition into a single outcome. In that case, this raises the question of whether the universe is ultimately deterministic. It suggests that we do not create new possibilities with our choices; instead, we merely step into a pre-existing outcome [15].

On the other hand, indeterminism allows for multiple possible outcomes, indicating that our choices influence the unfolding of events in parallel universes [16]. This perspective aligns with the Many-Worlds Interpretation, where each decision leads to the branching of parallel realities. It highlights the idea that the timing of choices can play a role in shaping which outcomes materialize in a given universe [17].

In a deterministic framework, each choice could represent a predestined outcome. For instance, opening Schrödinger's box early might indicate that one specific universe has already been realized, leaving other potential outcomes behind. This view aligns with the notion that each quantum event is dictated by prior conditions, leading to a single predetermined path. Conversely, the indeterministic view allows for greater flexibility. If a choice made in one universe creates a branching point, it allows other universes to continue exploring different outcomes. The timing of choices becomes crucial, as a delayed decision may restrict the range of available paths due to other events or interactions in the meantime. This phenomenon can be likened to a tree with multiple branches—each choice influences the direction of the branches, creating a dynamic structure with many possible outcomes [18].

11. Conclusion

The exploration of time's impact on parallel universes uncovers intriguing connections between choice, determinism, and indeterminism. The theoretical model supports the hypothesis that the amount of time spent making a decision impacts the range of possible outcomes in parallel universes. Specifically, the analysis reveals that making choices sooner rather than later appears to offer a wider range of possible outcomes. Conversely, delaying choices may limit these options, implying a more deterministic pathway in parallel universes. This is evidenced by the fact that delayed decisions allow more external factors to influence the potential outcomes, thereby limiting the available paths. Timely decisions preserve a wider range of potential outcomes, supporting greater flexibility and indeterminism. These findings have significant implications for our understanding of how

human choices shape reality and for the concept of parallel universes, where different choices may lead to different outcomes.

Data Availability

No new data were created or analysed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as all analyses and conclusions are based on theoretical models and literature review.

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization, N.K.; Methodology, N.K.; Investigation, N.K.; Resources, N.K.; Data Curation, N.K.; Writing - Original Draft Preparation, N.K.; Writing – Review & Editing, N.K.; Visualization, N.K.; Supervision, N.K.

Acknowledgments

I want to express my gratitude to all the researchers and scholars whose work has contributed to the foundation of this study. Additionally, I am grateful to my peers and colleagues who provided valuable feedback and encouragement throughout the development of this paper.

References

[1] Francisco S. N. Lobo, "Nature of Time and Causality in Physics," *Psychology of Time*, pp. 395-422, 2008. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[2] Andrew Zimmerman Jones, and Daniel Robbins, "String Theory: Parallel Universes and the Multiverse," *Dummies- A Wiley Brand*, 2016. [Publisher Link]

[3] John Davis, Strange Behavior of Quantum Particles may Indicate the Existence of other Parallel Universes, Phys.org, 2015. [Online]. Available: https://phys.org/news/2015-06-strange-behavior-quantum-particles-parallel.html

[4] Yu-Qing Cui et al., "Schrödinger's Cat State of Optical Parallel Universes," *arXiv*, pp. 1-5, 2021. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[5] Rupak Bhattacharya et al., "Schrödinger Cat's Experiment's Interpretation and Parallel Universe or Multiple Universes," *Research & Reviews: Journal of Space Science & Technology (RRJoSST)*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 35-52, 2016. [Publisher Link]

[6] Richard Wagner et al., "Quantum Causality Emerging in a Delayed-Choice Quantum Cheshire Cat Experiment with Neutrons," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 13, pp. 1-13, 2023. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[7] L.S. Schulman, "Delayed Choice Experiments, the Arrow of Time, and Quantum Measurement," *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 1408, no. 1, pp. 153-167, 2011. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[8] Thomas Müller, "Time and Determinism," *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, vol. 44, pp. 729-740, 2015. [CrossRef] [Publisher Link]

[9] A.C. Elitzur and E. Cohen, "1−1=Counterfactual: on the Potency and Significance of Quantum Non-Events," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*: *Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 374, pp. 1-13, 2016. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[10] Britannica, The Many-Worlds Picture of Quantum Mechanics Explained. [Online]. Available: https://www.britannica.com/video/185515/Description-worlds-picture-quantum-mechanics

[11] Beatriz Gato and Beatriz Gato-Rivera, "Schroedinger's Cat is not Alone," vol. 1, pp. 1-12, 2010. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[12] The Virtual Multiverse Theory of Free Will, Ben Goertzel, 2004. [Online]. Available: https://www.goertzel.org/dynapsyc/2004/FreeWill.htm.

[13] Stefan Rummens and Stefaan E. Cuypers, "Determinism and the Paradox of Predictability," *Erkenntnis*, vol. 72, pp. 233-249, 2009. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[14] Lev Vaidman, "Quantum Theory and Determinism," *Quantum Studies: Mathematics and Foundations*, vol. 1, pp. 5–38, 2014.

[CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[15] Eddy Keming Chen, "Does Quantum Theory Imply the Entire Universe is Preordained?," *Nature*, vol. 624, pp. 513-515, 2023. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[16] Saul Mcleod, Freewill vs Determinism, Simply Psychology, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.simplypsychology.org/freewill-determinism.html

[17] John Gribbin, The Many-Worlds Theory, Explained, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://thereader.mitpress.mit.edu/the-many-worlds-theory/

[18] Christian C. Luhmann, "Temporal Decision-Making: insights from Cognitive Neuroscience," *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, vol. 3, pp. 1-9, 2009. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]